

POLICIES/ PROCEDURES

Subject: Best execution policy

Last updated by: Operations

Date: 09/2024

Approved by: Board of Directors

Date: 05/11/2024

I. INTRODUCTION

Alma Capital Investment Management S.A. (hereafter “ACIM” or “the Company”) is a Luxembourg-based management company, authorised and regulated by the CSSF, subject to Chapter 15 of the UCI Law of 17 December 2010, and to chapter 2 of the AIFM Law of 12 July 2013 as amended. ACIM is the management company for UCITS and alternative investment funds or sub-funds.

Under the freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services in another Member State of the European Union, ACIM has established a branch in France, Alma Capital Investment Management, French branch (the “French Branch”), authorised by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (“AMF”).

Following the Brexit, ACIM has implemented a subsidiary in the United Kingdom, Alma Capital London (hereafter “ACL” or “the subsidiary”), authorised by the Financial Conduct Authority (“FCA”) to manage UCITS funds. This subsidiary is hold in full by ACIM and mostly performs activities that are linked to the funds managed by ACIM (mostly portfolio management and distribution).

This Policy applies to ACIM, to its French Branch and to its subsidiary.

Portfolio management of most of the UCITS funds managed by ACIM is delegated to external investment managers. However, the portfolio management of some UCITS is performed internally, through ACL.

II. OBJECTIVES

ACIM has implemented this Policy relating to the best execution of orders to:

- Protect the interests of investors when transmitting orders to third-parties for execution, as well as its reputation and ongoing business operations;
- promote the actual delivery of best execution of orders;
- obtain the best possible value when transmitting orders;
- develop its relationship with third-parties that can support trade execution on a consistent basis;
- review and assess market places and opportunities that are appropriate for executing orders;
- address the potential conflict(s) of interest that may arise in the context of best execution.

The purpose of this Policy is to ensure that orders are processed in a prompt and fair manner, in

ACIM | POLICIES & PROCEDURES

accordance with the applicable law and regulations, and specifically with the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive 2004/39/EC (“MiFID”), as subsequently amended by Directive 2014/65/EU (“MiFID II”) that came into force on 3 January 2018. Other FCA rules and guidance have been taken into account in designing this Policy, as have ESMA guidance where appropriate.

This Policy applies only to the execution or transmission of orders in financial instruments, as defined in MiFID II, except where noted otherwise.

III. THE BEST EXECUTION OBLIGATION

ACIM and ACL must treat investors with honesty, fairness and professionalism, acting in their best interests at all times. In particular, the Company has to ensure that investors’ orders are executed on terms that are most favourable to that investor, which is referred to as the “best execution obligation”.

MiFID II has further enhanced this standard, by requiring that firms take ‘all sufficient steps’ to obtain the best possible result for its clients on a consistent basis when executing orders.

For the purposes of this Policy and in relation to the best execution rules more generally, the term “investor order” should be understood to mean all orders in financial instruments, whether they are executed directly in the market or transmitted to another firm to execute on the Company’s behalf, which are carried out on behalf of an investor. This includes orders that arise in relation to fund management activities, and therefore originate within the Company rather than with the investor. In this situation, the Company still has a best execution obligation in relation to the execution of this order. Indeed, the obligation is widened in this situation as it applies both to the trader, in executing the trade order, and also to the portfolio manager when giving instructions to the trader (where these roles are separated). The portfolio manager must therefore also consider his best execution obligation when giving instructions to the trader on how the order is to be executed.

IV. DELEGATION OF PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

When delegating portfolio management, ACIM ensures its delegated investment managers always act in the best interest of the Company’s investors when choosing a broker for the purpose of executing financial transactions on behalf of the Company.

ACIM, acting in its capacity as Management Company, has to verify that an appropriate broker selection and order execution policies is implemented by the various investment managers to which it delegates the portfolio management of funds falling under its responsibility and supervision which ensures transactions initiated by the investment managers are correctly placed with the market and in the best interest of the investors.

V. DIRECT PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT BY ALMA CAPITAL LONDON, LTD

ACIM, via its subsidiary Alma Capital London, Ltd, also carries out investment management for some UCITS and offshore funds where portfolio management is performed internally.

ACIM | POLICIES & PROCEDURES

The Company will be involved in the transmission or execution of transactions in financial instruments. When determining the approach to achieving best execution, ACIM must decide to which broker orders will be transmitted for execution. The decision is made with reference to execution factors and execution criteria.

1. Execution factors

When managing portfolios, executing or transmitting orders to third-parties for execution, ACIM has to obtain the best possible result for investors. The Company takes into account execution factors, which constitute the consideration and relative importance in the trading process. Execution factors may include but are not limited to the:

- price of the financial instrument;
- broker's relevant expertise related to specific instruments traded;
- speed of execution;
- transaction costs, including fees and commissions;
- likelihood of execution and settlement;
- size of the order;
- nature of the order;
- market impact; and
- other consideration relevant to the order.

The relative importance of each of the above helps to establish best execution. Although other execution factors may, in certain circumstances, be more important than price in obtaining best execution, it is generally assumed that price is the first priority.

In absence of any specific instruction, it may also be necessary to consider additional execution factors such as the:

- need for timely execution;
- potential for price improvement;
- potential price impact of an order;
- liquidity of the market, which may make it difficult to execute an order;
- nature of the transaction, including whether or not this transaction can be executed on a regulated market or not;
- quality and cost effectiveness of any available clearing and settlement facilities; and
- need for counterparty diversification.

2. Execution Criteria

When putting in place the execution process, ACIM must take into account the following execution criteria for determining the relative importance of the execution factors. These may include, but are not limited to the:

- characteristics of the investors, including their MiFID categorisation;
- characteristics of the financial instruments that are the subject of the order;

ACIM | POLICIES & PROCEDURES

- characteristics of the order, including where the order involves a securities financing transaction;
- characteristics of the execution venues or counterparties to which the order can be directed;
- market impact of the order;
- execution arrangements for the order; and
- any specific instruction applicable.

Through the careful application of these criteria, the priority of the execution factor will be determined.

3. Broker's selection: general considerations

For each of the instruments listed in the appendices below, once the decision to deal has been taken, the choice is made as to which broker is used to execute the transaction. This decision is unique to the instrument and is based upon the relative importance of the execution factors and execution criteria.

The circumstances of the transaction will determine the priority given to these execution factors. Generally execution price should be treated as the highest priority, with costs as the next factor, to differentiate between brokers. However, where it is considered that there is any reduction in the likelihood of successful execution or settlement through a particular broker, ACIM avoids trading through such an entity. Furthermore, in circumstances where price movement is rapid and any delay considered likely to be disadvantageous to investors, speed is treated as the priority factor, over and above considerations of price and cost.

4. Broker's expertise

In the circumstances where the nature of the security in question presents challenges to successful execution due to obscurity, temporary rally or illiquidity, the Policy is to engage a broker for such a transaction. In selecting the appropriate broker the priority factor is their expertise in relation to the security in question on the grounds that this will result in the best overall execution in terms of achievement of execution and price, albeit that cost – especially the broker's commission – may not be the most competitive.

5. Commission Rates

Regarding commissions, ACIM's aim is to select the broker with the lowest rate. However, as mentioned elsewhere in this Policy, cost of transaction is usually not the highest priority and in some circumstances is a low-priority factor, but where there is a direct choice of broker with other factors being equal, the lower commission-rated broker is employed.

By direct extension, where commission can appropriately, without investors' disadvantage, be avoided altogether, it should be the Company's policy to deal in that way.

6. Large Transactions

Where a transaction is large in comparison to the normal market size for that security, a broker is preferably used to manage the execution of the transaction, exercising his discretion and expertise. In these circumstances, the priority factor is normally either the broker's ability to complete the transaction successfully or price. Consequently, the costs of transaction in terms of broker's commission will be attributed a relatively low-priority, reflecting the importance of selecting a broker known to be expert at achieving execution.

7. Speed of transaction

When the price of the contemplated security is moving quickly, either as a result of news relating specifically to that company or because of wider market movement, speed of execution is usually the priority factor. Speedy execution is achieved through a large broker known to be capable of achieving fast execution in the circumstances. Second to speed is successful execution, followed by the question of cost. In this context, the price factor is inevitably de-prioritised.

8. Speed of settlement

In the comparatively unusual situation where speed of settlement is of material importance, that factor will be prioritised above costs of transaction and price, although it does not imply that cost and price are irrelevant, but merely of lower priority. As a mean of procuring speedy settlement, it is normally necessary to negotiate with a broker for special settlement terms. Usually this latter results in the selection of a substantial and well-capitalised broker capable of providing such a service, notwithstanding that they might not be the most cost-competitive.

9. Broker's details

The selection of a broker results from the prioritisation of the execution factors as appropriate for an individual transaction. Appendices A and B set out ACIM's view of the various aspects of each broker that are ordinarily authorised for use. It is acceptable in some circumstances, as explained above, for price and/or cost not to be the highest factors. However where the decision is taken that other factors have higher priority in the context of an individual transaction, a record is to be made, as part of the transaction record, of that decision and the rationale for it. Usually price and cost are the highest priorities for ACIM, subject of course to the fundamental capacity of that broker to facilitate the transaction.

10. Oversight and Controls

To ensure best execution:

- ACIM (and ACL) only uses brokers that have been authorised by the Board of Directors of

ACIM | POLICIES & PROCEDURES

the Company. ACIM will not enter into agreements with or direct business with brokers that are not in line with our best execution obligations. The performance of each authorised broker is subject to ongoing review to assess whether the quality of the execution, the initial authorisation criteria and the operational performance remain in line with expectations and contractual commitments. The level of activity of each approved broker is also reviewed. A broker that has not executed any orders during a set interval of time is reviewed to confirm if it should remain authorised.

- Orders to trade a particular security in the same direction may be aggregated by the brokers for concurrent execution as though they were one order, provided they are comparable and there are no conflicting constraints applicable to the orders. Orders with portfolio manager comments and/or instructions must be assessed for conflicting constraints prior to aggregation.
- In compliance with MiFID rules on inducements, ACIM should not pay or accept from any third-party, or person acting on behalf of a third-party (other than investors or a person on behalf of its investors) any fees, commissions, or any monetary or non-monetary benefits in connection with the provision of investment services to its investors, except when:
 - It is designed to enhance the quality of the relevant service to the investor and does not impair compliance with ACIM duty to act honestly, fairly and professionally in the best interests of its investors;
 - It is an acceptable minor non-monetary benefit as per local rules and regulation;
 - It relates to externally produced investment research that is not considered an inducement in the jurisdiction where the entity benefiting from it is based.

VI. REVIEW BY THE COMPANY AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

This Policy is reviewed whenever needed to reflect any material changes in practice or of the applicable regulatory requirements.

- In addition, where carrying out direct portfolio management ACIM reviews at least on an annual basis, or upon need the list of available trading venues to confirm that these venues continue to be appropriate to achieve the best execution of orders;
- The list of authorised brokers used by ACIM is also reviewed annually to confirm that these brokers continue to provide access to the markets on appropriate terms and quality standards;
- Finally, the Board of Directors endeavours to review, at least annually – or to the best of its knowledge each time a change is notified to the Company – the list of counterparties and prime brokers used by its delegated investment managers.

VII. AVAILABILITY OF THE PROCEDURE

The procedure is available to all relevant staff. This procedure – or a brief of it – is disclosed on the Company's website and is freely available at ACIM registered office upon investor's request.

ACIM | POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Appendix A – Table of brokers used by ACIM

- for managing the Alma Capital Investment Funds – Alma Eikoh Japan Large Cap Equity sub-fund.

Name of Broker	Location	Commission charged	Speciality
Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Ltd	London/Tokyo	5bps	Japan Equities
Instinet Germany GmbH	London/Tokyo	5bps	Japan Equities
Mizuho International Plc	London/Tokyo	5bps	Japan Equities
SMBC Bank International Plc	London/Tokyo	5bps	Japan Equities
Goldman Sachs International	London/Tokyo	5bps	Japan Equities
Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc	London/Tokyo	5bps	Japan Equities

- for managing the Ichirizuka Master Fund, LP.

Name of Broker	Location	Commission charged	Speciality
Global Prime Partners Ltd	London/Tokyo	5 bps	Japan Equities
Marex Prime Services Ltd	London/Tokyo	5 bps	Japan Equities

ACIM | POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Appendix B – Table of venues used by ACIM

- for managing the Alma Capital Investment Funds – Alma Eikoh Japan Large Cap Equity sub-fund.

Venue	Location	Instruments	Specialisation	Regulator
Japan's Stock Exchanges	Japan	Equities of companies domiciled in Japan	Equities	Japan's Financial Services Agency

- for managing the Ichirizuka Master Fund, LP.

Venue	Location	Instruments	Specialisation	Regulator
Japan's Stock Exchanges	Japan	Equities of companies domiciled in Japan	Equities	Japan's Financial Services Agency

ACIM may instruct brokers to execute orders outside of MiFID trading venues, such as regulated markets or multilateral trading facilities, when deemed appropriate to do so to achieve best execution of an order.